

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT


## Telephone Conversation Log

Date: 11/30/12

Person spoke with: [REDACTED]

Time: Approximately 15:00

I spoke with [REDACTED] regarding her email from 11/29/12 to Rick Karl. [REDACTED] expressed concern with the river being closed again for re-dredging. I told her that if Enbridge conducted dredging in the three major impoundment areas, the entire river would not be closed during these activities. She told me that the river appeared to be cleaner than it ever has been before and eagles and egrets have been in the delta and she had never seen those in that area. I explained that while the river might look clean, submerged oil remains in the three major impoundment areas and that the sooner it is removed, the sooner the river can begin to recover permanently. She expressed concern for the new wall that Enbridge built for her and that dredging would most certainly damage it. I told her that if the wall is damaged during any submerged oil recovery that may happen, it would most certainly be addressed. She informed me that she thought that Enbridge has done enough and has bent over backwards to make things right. I told her that may or may not be the case, but the fact remains that Enbridge's oil remains in the bottom of the river in many places. [REDACTED] expressed concern with her property value if we make Enbridge dredge. I informed her that having the oil removed from the Delta would not likely decrease her property value. Her last statement was that she wanted EPA to know her concerns and that she would like them considered during the decision to dredge.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "J. M. [unclear]".